

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dook 1450 grains 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFRMATION NO.

 10/041,039
 12/28/2001
 Carl L Green
 42390,P13009
 1948

 EXAMINER

8791 7590 07/20/2006 EXAMNER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN NELSON, ALECIA DIANE

12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2629
2629

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/041,039	GREEN, CARL I.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alecia D. Nelson	2629	

		D. Holden	
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Τ,	TO BUILD ADDITION OF THE ADDITION	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.	 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the si this application, applicant must timely file one of the following re places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 		
	time periods:	final rejection	
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	y Action, or (2) the date set form in the limit rejection, whichever is taken and SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ILY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	
h u s	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MITTER 100-011 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortest of the control of	ich the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ich and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee in and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for (2) as	
2	NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complianc fling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within	n the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
3	AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider	rior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
	 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better for 	orm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corre	sponding number of finally rejected claims.	
l	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 at 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See 1.121	See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
1	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).	ble if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the	
1	non-allowable claim(s).		
to a second amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b)			
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		
Ì	Claim(s) objected to:		
1	Claim(s) rejected: 17-37.		
1	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and su	middin reasons my are aman	
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over the	not was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of	the status of the claims after entry is below of discondi	
	11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do		
1	See Continuation Sheet. 12. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PT	O/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
	13. Other:	Sumati heliowat	
1		CHINART I EEKOWITZ	
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly added claims 38 and 40 require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Felcman and Douglas should not be combined due to the references non-analogous, and even if combinable doesnot teach or suggest all of the limitations of the independent claims. However, it is the examiner position that both references perian to input devices that interact with display devices. While teaching a device for automotive test, Douglas teaches the operation of an input system including updating values devices. While teaching a device for automotive test, Douglas teaches the operation of an input system including updating values gystems. Further, the applicant argues that the combination of the references fails to teach a horizontal wheel positioned below the space bar to rotate horizontally relative to a top surface of the keyboard to receive user input, wherein it is argued that the wheel of Douglas does not rotate horizontally, however it is the examiner's position that a wheel rotates horizontally if it moves from left to right, or right to left. Which is carried out by the wheel of Douglas. The Applicant then argues that Douglas is not a horizontal wheel because of it shaped and therefore would not be likely for usage because it would not be thin. However, these arguments are directed towards unclaimed subject matter and would not be addressed further. For these reasons the rejection will be maintained.